

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/789,100	02/27/2004	Douglas S. Lacy	030048107US	7692
25096 DEDKING CO	7590 01/25/2007		EXAM	INER
PERKINS COIE LLP PATENT-SEA			HOLZEN, STEPHEN A	
P.O. BOX 1247 SEATTLE, WA 98111-1247		ý. ·	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
obiti ibb,	,		3644	
SHORTENED STATUTOR	RY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	· MAIL DATE	DELIVER	Y MODE
. 3 MC	PATRIC	01/25/2007	PAF	PFR

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

·		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/789,100	LACY ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Stephen A. Holzen	3644			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHO WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DON'S INTERPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DON'S INTERPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DON'S INTERPLY CHEVER INTERPLY CHEVER INTERPLY CHEVER INTERPLY CHEVER INTERPLY CHEVER INTERPLY PERIOD OF THE MAILING CHEVER INTERPLY PERIOD OF THE MAILING CHEVER INTERPLY CHEVER INTERPLY PERIOD OF THE MAILING CHEVER INTERPLY CHE	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timwill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	I. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
2a)☐	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>26 O</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	s action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro	·			
Dispositi	on of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 29-38,40-44 and 46-50 is/are pending 4a) Of the above claim(s) 30,40 and 46 is/are via Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 29,31-38,41-44 and 47-50 is/are rejected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vithdrawn from consideration.				
Applicati	ion Papers					
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 2.	epted or b) objected to by the I drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See tion is required if the drawing(s) is object.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
2) Notice	et(s) te of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P	ate			
	er No(s)/Mail Date <u>10/26, 8/1</u> .	6) Other:				

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

- 1. Applicant's arguments with respect to the rejection(s) of the claim(s) have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn.
- 2. Claims 29-38, 40-44, and 46-50 are pending.
- 3. Claims 30, 40, and 46, are withdrawn.
- 4. Claims 29, 31-38, 41-44, 47-50 have been examined.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- 5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:
 - A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -
 - (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 6. Claims 29, 32-35, 37 and 38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Tulinius (5,082,207). Tulinius teaches an airfoil having a spanwise portion, the spanwise portion having a plurality of spanwise location
 - Re Claim 29, 32-35, 37, and 38: A leading edge device arrangement coupled to the spanwise portion (Figure 1 illustrates two wings on either side of the fuselage), the leading edge device arrangement including at least a portion of at least on leading edge device (18, 20, 26, 28) the at least one leading edge device in turn including at least a portion of a leading edge flap or leading edge slat (18, 20, 26, 28), wherein a leading

Art Unit: 3644

edge device chord length at each location is <u>capable</u> of corresponding to the smallest cord length required to provide a local maximum lift coefficient when the airfoil is operated at a specific design condition and angle of attack.

It should be appreciated that the applicant's functional language in the claims do not serve to impart patentability. While features of an apparatus may be recited either structurally or functional, claims directed to an apparatus must be distinguished from the prior art in terms of structure rather than function. Apparatus claims cover what a device is, not what a device does. A claim containing a recitation with respect to the manner in which a claimed apparatus is intended to be employed does not differentiate the claimed apparatus from a prior art apparatus if the prior apparatus teaches all the structural limitation of the claims. In re Schreiber, 128 F.3d 1473, 1477-78,44USPQ2d, 1429, 1431-.2 (Fed. Cir. 1997); Hewlett-Packard Co. v. Bausch & Lomb Inc., 909 F.2d 1464, 1469, 15 USPQ2d 1525, 1528 (Fed. Cir. 1990); Ex parte Masham, 2USPQ 2d 1647 (Bd. Pat. App. & Inter. 1987).

7. Claims 29, 31-38, 41-44, and 47-50 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Middleton et al (4,293,110).

Re – Claims 29, 32-35, 37, and 38: Middleton et al discloses a leading edge device arrangement coupled to the spanwise portion (Figure 1 illustrates two wings on either side of the fuselage), the leading edge device arrangement including at least a portion of at least on leading edge device (22A, 24A, Figure 4A) the at least one leading edge device in turn including at least a portion of a leading edge flap or leading edge slat

Art Unit: 3644

(22A, 24A), wherein a leading edge device chord length at each location is <u>capable</u> of corresponding to the smallest cord length required to provide a local maximum lift coefficient when the airfoil is operated at a specific design condition and angle of attack.

It should be appreciated that the applicant's functional language in the claims do not serve to impart patentability. While features of an apparatus may be recited either structurally or functional, claims directed to an apparatus must be distinguished from the prior art in terms of structure rather than function. Apparatus claims cover what a device is, not what a device does. A claim containing a recitation with respect to the manner in which a claimed apparatus is intended to be employed does not differentiate the claimed apparatus from a prior art apparatus if the prior apparatus teaches all the structural limitation of the claims. In re Schreiber, 128 F.3d 1473, 1477-78,44USPQ2d, 1429, 1431-.2 (Fed. Cir. 1997); Hewlett-Packard Co. v. Bausch & Lomb Inc., 909 F.2d 1464, 1469, 15 USPQ2d 1525, 1528 (Fed. Cir. 1990); Ex parte Masham, 2USPQ 2d 1647 (Bd. Pat. App. & Inter. 1987).

Re – Claims 31, 36, 41-44, 47-50: Middleton et al discloses a first taper portion wherein the leading edge device chord length is tapered in a first spanwise direction and a second taper portion wherein the leading edge device chord length is tapered in a second spanwise direction (see Figures 4 and 4a which illustrates leading edges flaps 22a that are triangular in form; flaps 22a taper left to right from the tip of the slap to the leading edge of element #24a).

Art Unit: 3644

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stephen A. Holzen whose telephone number is 571-272-6903. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Teri Luu can be reached on 571-272-7045. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Sah